

TL Science Day



# Bugs Framework (BF) Formalizing Software Security Bugs, Weaknesses, and Vulnerabilities

Irena Bojanova, NIST

#### Motivation

Crucial need of a formal classification system allowing unambiguous specification of software security bugs and weaknesses, and the vulnerabilities that exploit them.



BF Vulnerability Model

Chains of weaknesses

#### Objective

Create bug models, weakness taxonomies, and vulnerability models with causation and propagation rules; and an unambiguous formal weakness/vulnerability specification language.

# **BF Bug Models**

Bug/Fault Type related software execution phases with non-overlapping operations Causation between weaknesses – by operation flow





### **BF Weakness Taxonomies**

Weakness Types with valid cause-operation-consequence relations – e.g., the BF MUS class:

BF Memory Corruption/Disclosure (MEM) Class Type BF Memory Use (MUS) Class

↔ Chaining weaknesses or vulnerabilities  $\oplus$ Converging vulnerabilities lookup() for valid operation flow and weakness triples Propagation by same Fault/Error type

BF, I. Bojanova , 2014-2023

# BF Formal Language

- BF CFG<sup>1</sup> is a G = (V,  $\Sigma$ , R, S) tuple with finite sets of tokens  $\Sigma = \{ \alpha \mid \alpha \text{ is BF taxon} \} \cup \{ \bigoplus, \text{ lookup}() \}$ , variables V, rules R = { A  $\rightarrow \omega$  | A  $\in$  V,  $\omega \in$  (V  $\cup \Sigma$ )\* }, and a predefined start S  $\in$  V
- BF LL(1)<sup>2</sup> Attribute CFG<sup>3</sup> is BF CFG derived via left-factorization and left recursion elimination

#### Syntax Rules

 $S \rightarrow Vulnerability Converge_Failure$ Vulnerability → Bug Operation OperAttrs\_Error\_ExplError OperAttrs\_Error\_ExplError → Oper\_Attr OperAttrs\_Error\_ExplError | Error Fault OprndAttrs\_Operation | Exploitable Error  $OprndAttrs_Operation \rightarrow$ Operand\_Attr OprndAttrs\_Operation | Operation OperAttrs\_Error\_ExplError Converge\_Failure  $\rightarrow$ Ulnerability Converge\_Failure

BF formal language is the BF LL(1) CFG generated set of all strings derivable from S:  $L(G) = \{ \alpha \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow^* \alpha \}$ A string starts from S and ends with  $\varepsilon$  (the empty string) by applying the A  $\rightarrow \omega$ 

production rules regardless of context.

 $\blacktriangleright$  BF LL(1)  $\Rightarrow$  unambiguous BF specifications!



- Taxons types (in black) and values (in purple) • Operations and operands
  - Causes and consequences
  - Attributes of operations and operands
- Causation within weaknesses all valid triples (Bug/Fault, Operation, Error/Exploitable Error)
- Structured cause-operation-consequence
- Complete no gaps in coverage
- Orthogonal no overlap by operation
- Context-free programming language and application domain independent

| Exploit NextVulner\_Failure NextVulner\_Failure → Fault Operation OperAttrs\_Error\_ExplError | Failure ε Semantic Rules (Bug | Fault, Operation, Error | Exploitable Error)  $\leftarrow$  lookup() Fault.Type ← Error.Type Exploit.Type  $\leftarrow$  Exploitable Error.Type

Lexis – BF taxons Ο

- Syntax BF vulnerability models' flow
- Semantics causation & propagation (BF) Ο bug models operation flow, valid taxon triples, vulnerability model propagation).

<sup>1</sup> CFG – Context-Free Grammar; <sup>2</sup> LL(1) – Left-to-right Leftmost-derivation One-symbol-lookahead; <sup>3</sup> Attribute CFG – adds attributes, and semantic and predicate functions.

#### Potential Impact

Models and a formal language for unambiguous bug, weakness, and vulnerability specifications – for use by professionals, AI algorithms, and systems for training, data generation, and research.